RESEARCH ARTICLE

COMPARATIVE EDUCATION REVISITED: METHODOLOGIES, FRAMEWORKS AND EMERGING TRENDS

Aminat Ozohu Aburime & Simeon Olalekan Lawal

Department of Arts Education, Faculty of Education, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria

Thomas Adewumi University, Oko-Irese, Kwara State, Nigeria

Corresponding author's e-mail: aminataburime@unilorin.edu.ng

ARTICLE DETAILS

ABSTRACT

Comparative education has long been a pivotal field for deciphering the intricacies of educational systems across diverse cultural, political, and economic sectors. This article explores the historical trajectory of comparative education, tracing its development from descriptive to predictive and scientific stages, and examines the key methodologies and theoretical frameworks that have shaped its growth. It also explores emerging trends influenced by globalization, technological advancements, and shifting educational priorities. This review sought to provide a comprehensive roadmap for future research and practice in comparative education by synthesizing existing literature and identifying knowledge gaps. The article highlights the transition from a focus on borrowing and prediction to a more rigorous scientific and analytical approach, reflecting the field's adaptation to global challenges. It concludes by outlining potential avenues for future studies and policy implications in an increasingly interconnected world, where comparative education plays a critical role in fostering cross-cultural understanding and educational innovation.

KEYWORDS

Introduction

Comparative education is an interdisciplinary field that seeks to analyze and understand the similarities and differences between educational systems, policies, and practices across nations and cultures. Its origins can be traced back to the 19th century, with pioneers such as Marc-Antoine Jullien and Michael Sadler, who emphasized the importance of cross-national educational analysis. Over time, the field has evolved to address pressing global issues such as equity, access, and quality in education (Cowen, 2023). Comparative education serves several important purposes. One of its primary roles is describing educational systems, which involves detailing the processes and outcomes of these systems. This helps in gaining a comprehensive understanding of how different educational systems operate and what results they yield. Another key function of comparative education is developing educational institutions. The field plays a crucial role in improving educational practices and institutions by identifying best practices and areas for improvement. This contributes to enhancing the overall quality of education globally. Comparative education also highlights education-society

relationships by exploring how education interacts with various societal factors. This includes understanding how social, economic, and cultural contexts influence educational outcomes and policies (Marshall, 2019).

Furthermore, comparative education aims to establish generalized statements that can be applied across multiple countries. Researchers can derive conclusions that are universally relevant, helping to inform educational policies and practices worldwide by comparing different educational systems. Moreover, comparative education helps in understanding contemporary education by referencing historical contexts. This historical perspective allows for a deeper understanding of current educational systems and how they have evolved over time. It provides valuable insights into the development of educational policies and practices, enabling more informed decision-making in the present. Comparative education is not limited to education alone; it draws from various disciplines such as sociology, political science, psychology, and anthropology. This multidisciplinary approach allows for a comprehensive analysis of educational systems, considering historical, philosophical, and social science theories (Schriewer, 2021). Today, comparative education continues to play a crucial role in addressing global educational challenges. It informs policy decisions by providing insights into effective educational practices worldwide, contributing to the development of more equitable and accessible educational systems.

This article revisits the field of comparative education by addressing the following objectives:

1. Methodologies: How researchers approach the study of educational systems across contexts.
2. Frameworks: The theoretical lenses used to interpret findings.
3. Emerging Trends: New directions in the field influenced by globalization, technology, and societal changes.

Methodologies in Comparative Education

Comparative education employs a variety of methodologies to analyze educational systems. These methodologies can be broadly categorized into qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-methods approaches. Qualitative methods in comparative education are designed to provide a rich and nuanced understanding of educational practices by examining the context and meaning behind them. These methods involve collecting and analyzing non-numerical data, such as text, images, and observations. Case studies and example of qualitative methods involve in-depth examinations of specific educational institutions or programs. This approach allows researchers to gain a detailed understanding of the dynamics and outcomes within these settings. Case studies are particularly useful for exploring complex issues or unique educational models, providing insights into how they operate and the factors that contribute to their success or challenges. Another example, ethnographic research involves long-term immersion in educational settings. Researchers engage in participant observation, aiming to understand cultural and social interactions within these environments. This approach provides a deep understanding of the cultural context and how it influences educational practices. Ethnographic studies often uncover subtle dynamics and nuances that might be overlooked through other methods (Bingham, Dean & Castillo, 2019).

In addition, interviews and focus groups are essential qualitative tools for gathering detailed insights from educators, students, and policymakers. These structured and semi-structured discussions allow researchers to explore participants' experiences, perceptions, and attitudes towards educational practices. Interviews provide in-depth personal perspectives, while focus groups facilitate the exploration of collective views and interactions among participants. Both methods help in understanding the subjective experiences and meanings attached to educational processes. Beyond the key qualitative methods, additional approaches are used to further enrich the understanding of educational systems. These include document analysis, which involves examining written documents such as policy papers, curricula, and historical records to gain insights into educational policies and practices. Observational studies are also employed, where researchers systematically observe educational settings to record behaviors, interactions, and practices. Furthermore, content analysis is used to analyze media, texts, or other materials to identify themes, biases, or messages related to education. These diverse qualitative methods collectively provide a comprehensive view of educational contexts by uncovering detailed information that might not be captured through other research methods (Tomaszewski, Zarestky & Gonzalez, 2020).

Quantitative methods in comparative education rely on numerical data to analyze educational systems, focusing on identifying trends, patterns, and correlations across large datasets. Key quantitative approaches include surveys and questionnaires, which involve collecting data through standardized instruments to assess attitudes, behaviors, or outcomes. This method is particularly useful for gathering information from a large number of participants, providing valuable insights into educational practices and policies across different regions. Another important quantitative approach is statistical analysis, which utilizes statistical techniques to analyze large datasets. These datasets are often derived from international assessments like PISA (Programme for International Student Assessment) or TIMSS (Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study). Statistical analysis plays a crucial role in comparing educational outcomes and identifying areas for improvement, helping policymakers and educators make informed decisions. Experimental designs are also a key part of quantitative methods in comparative education. Conducting controlled experiments allows researchers to evaluate the impact of specific educational interventions. This method is particularly effective in determining the effectiveness of new teaching methods or policies by comparing outcomes between experimental and control groups. Using experimental designs, researchers can establish causal relationships between interventions and outcomes, providing strong evidence for policy recommendations.

Quantitative methods have become increasingly prominent in comparative education, especially since the 1960s, when they were advocated as a more scientific approach by scholars like Harold Noah and Max Eckstein34. However, there are ongoing debates about the limitations of quantitative methods, such as the challenges in using regression analysis for causal inference. Despite

these challenges, quantitative methods remain a dominant force in comparative education, particularly in policy-making contexts (Klees, 2017).

Mixed-methods research is a powerful approach in comparative education, combining both qualitative and quantitative methods to provide a comprehensive understanding of educational systems. This approach leverages the strengths of each method to offer a more nuanced and robust analysis. Triangulation involves using multiple methods to validate findings and increase the reliability of research conclusions. Combining qualitative and quantitative data, researchers can strengthen the validity of their results. If both qualitative and quantitative data converge, it enhances the credibility of the findings, a process known as triangulation (George, 2025). Sequential designs involve conducting qualitative research followed by quantitative analysis, or vice versa, to build upon initial findings. For example, qualitative research might be used to explore a phenomenon in depth, followed by quantitative analysis to generalize the findings across a larger population. Conversely, quantitative data can identify trends, which are then explored in more detail through qualitative methods. Embedded designs integrate qualitative data collection within a larger quantitative study. This approach provides deeper insights into specific aspects of the research by adding rich, contextualized data to quantitative findings. For instance, a large-scale survey might include qualitative interviews to gain a more detailed understanding of participants' experiences and perspectives. Mixed-methods research offers several advantages, including the ability to generate and test theory, answer complex research questions, and corroborate findings1. It is particularly suited to comparative education, which seeks to understand complex educational systems across different contexts by combining the generalizability of quantitative data with the depth of qualitative insights (Zha & Tu, 2016).

Theoretical Frameworks in Comparative Education

The field of comparative education is underpinned by a diverse range of theoretical frameworks that guide research and interpretation. These frameworks help explain and interpret educational phenomena across different contexts, providing a structured approach to understanding complex issues in education. Foundational theories in comparative education include structural-functionalism, imperialism, colonialism, Marxism, human capital theory, and world-system analysis. Structural-functionalism, associated with Talcott Parsons, examines education within broader social systems. Imperialism and colonialism explore how powerful nations influence educational practices in other countries. Marxist theory focuses on the role of social hierarchy in education, while human capital theory views education as a form of investment in individuals. Post-foundational theories encompass post-colonialism, post-modernism, post-structuralism, post-socialist transformation, and gender theories. Post-colonialism examines the impact of colonial and post-colonial systems on education. Post-modernism emphasizes pluralism and reflexivity, while post-structuralism critiques structuralist interpretations of society and education. Post-socialist transformation studies change in educational processes in formerly socialist countries (Marcelo, 2021).

Theories of policy and practice include constructivism, learner-centeredness, differentiation theory, externalization, policy borrowing, and human rights education. Constructivism explores how ideas become educational practices, while learner-centeredness focuses on student learning influenced by beliefs and strategies. Differentiation theory simplifies systems by distinguishing between internal and external components. Interdisciplinary and emerging approaches involve theorizing about race and racism, queer theory, transitologies, actor-network theory, social network analysis, and the capabilities approach. These frameworks address issues like racial disparities, queer perspectives, educational transformations, and the interaction of human and non-human forces in education. These theoretical frameworks collectively enrich the field of comparative education by providing diverse perspectives on educational systems and practices, enabling researchers to critically analyze and interpret complex educational phenomena across different cultural and national contexts (Beech & Artopoulos, 2021).

Modernization Theory posits that education plays a crucial role in driving economic and social development. This framework suggests that as societies become more modernized, they will experience improvements in wealth, education, and political institutions, leading to a more liberal democratic and rationalist society (Thompson, 2024). However, modernization theory has faced significant criticism for its Western-centric assumptions and its failure to account for local and cultural contexts. Modernization theory assumes that underdeveloped countries can achieve economic growth and social progress by adopting Western values and industrial practices. It emphasizes the importance of education in fostering a modern workforce and promoting economic development. However, critics argue that this approach overlooks the unique cultural, historical, and economic conditions of non-Western societies, potentially leading to cultural homogenization and neglect of indigenous knowledge systems. In education, modernization theory has led to initiatives aimed at expanding formal schooling and promoting Western-style educational systems in developing countries. While these efforts have contributed to increased access to education, they have also been criticized for imposing a one-size-fits-all model that may not suit local needs or contexts. The theory's emphasis on industrialization and capitalism has also raised concerns about the potential erosion of traditional practices and the widening of educational disparities within societies (Harber & Oryema, 2014). Despite its criticisms, modernization theory remains relevant in discussions about global development and education. It highlights the potential of education to drive societal change and economic growth, although it requires adaptation to accommodate diverse cultural and economic contexts. Scholars continue to debate the theory's applicability and limitations, emphasizing the need for more nuanced approaches that respect local cultures and promote sustainable development.

World Culture Theory, associated with scholars like John Meyer and Francisco Ramirez, posits that global educational systems are converging due to the diffusion of universal norms and values. This theory suggests that education is becoming increasingly

standardized worldwide, driven by a shared belief in the importance of education for progress and development. The theory is rooted in a neoinstitutionalist perspective, which views global rationalization in education as driven by the logic of science and the myth of progress (Carney, Rappleye & Silova, 2012). However, critics argue that World Culture Theory overlooks local resistance and variation. They contend that the theory neglects the role of power and agency in shaping educational systems, often imposing Western-centric models as the global norm. This criticism suggests that the theory may inadvertently legitimize neoliberal policies and educational projects, leading to a homogenization of educational practices that neglects local contexts and cultural diversity. Despite these criticisms, World Culture Theory remains influential in comparative education, providing a framework for understanding global trends in education. It highlights the interconnectedness of the world and how global forces shape local educational practices, contributing to broader discussions on globalization and its impact on education (Wilkins, Courtney & Piattoeva, 2024). Nonetheless, there is a growing call for more nuanced approaches that account for local variations and resistances to global educational trends.

Postcolonial Theory serves as a critical lens in comparative education, emphasizing the enduring legacy of colonialism in shaping educational systems. This framework highlights the need to decolonize education, particularly in the Global South, where colonial influences continue to impact educational policies and practices. Postcolonial theory critiques how colonialism has imposed Western-centric values and knowledge systems on colonized societies, often marginalizing indigenous cultures and perspectives. Postcolonial theory critiques the lingering effects of colonialism on education systems, pointing out how curricula often reflect colonial values rather than local realities. This has led to educational systems that may not serve the needs of the local population effectively. The theory advocates for decolonizing education by promoting the use of local languages, incorporating indigenous knowledge, and focusing on content relevant to local contexts. This approach aims to empower communities by providing education that is culturally relevant and useful for societal development (Getahun, 2020). Postcolonial theory has gained prominence in studies of education, where the impacts of colonialism are most pronounced. It helps in understanding how historical colonial structures continue to influence contemporary educational challenges and opportunities.

The framework drawing on the work of scholars like Paulo Freire, particularly his critical pedagogy, emphasizes the examination of power dynamics, inequality, and social justice in education. This approach has been highly influential in studies of educational access and equity, as it challenges traditional educational models and seeks to empower marginalized groups. Critical pedagogy encourages learners to critically examine power structures and patterns of inequality. This involves recognizing how societal norms and institutions can perpetuate oppression and marginalization. Critical pedagogy aims to empower learners to take action against social injustices. This process involves understanding the social context and recognizing the connections between individual experiences and broader societal issues (Giroux, 2010). Freire's approach advocates for a "problem-posing education" rather than the traditional "banking model," where knowledge is imposed on passive learners. Instead, learners are encouraged to engage actively with problems and develop solutions through dialogue and critical thinking.

Critical pedagogy has been instrumental in highlighting issues of equity and access in education. It emphasizes the need for educational systems to address the diverse needs of learners, particularly those from marginalized backgrounds, and to promote inclusive and equitable learning environments. Critical pedagogy has significantly influenced studies on educational access and equity by challenging dominant narratives and advocating for transformative practices. It encourages educators to adopt a more democratic and participatory approach, where learners are seen as active agents rather than passive recipients of knowledge. This framework continues to inspire educators and researchers to address systemic inequalities and promote social justice through education.

Human Capital Theory is a widely recognized framework in economics and education that views education as an investment in individuals, yielding economic returns. According to this theory, education enhances an individual's skills and productivity, leading to increased earning potential and economic growth. However, despite its widespread use, Human Capital Theory has faced criticism for reducing education to primarily an economic tool. The theory posits that education is a form of investment, similar to investments in physical capital. Individuals and societies invest in education to increase human productivity and economic output. The expected outcome of this investment is higher earnings for individuals and increased economic growth for societies. Education is seen as a means to acquire skills that are valuable in the labor market (Leoni, 2023).

Critics argue that Human Capital Theory oversimplifies the role of education by focusing solely on its economic benefits. This perspective neglects the broader social, cultural, and personal benefits of education, such as personal fulfillment, social mobility, and civic engagement. The theory has also been criticized for its inability to fully account for issues like inequality and access to education. It assumes that all individuals have equal opportunities to invest in education, which is not always the case. Moreover, it overlooks the potential negative consequences of treating education as a commodity, such as the commodification of knowledge and the devaluation of non-economic educational goals. Despite these criticisms, Human Capital Theory remains influential in policy-making and educational planning. It has shaped discussions around the economic benefits of education and has been used to justify investments in educational infrastructure and programs. However, there is a growing recognition of the need to balance economic goals with broader social and cultural objectives in education, highlighting the importance of a more holistic approach to understanding the value of education (Auerbash & Green, 2024).

Emerging Trends in Comparative Education

The increasing interconnectedness of the world, driven by globalization and internationalization, has profoundly impacted

education. This trend has led to the rise of global education policies and the internationalization of higher education, prompting comparative education researchers to examine its effects on local educational systems. Globalization has transformed education by increasing access to information and educational opportunities. Globalization has made it easier for students to access vast amount of educational resources and information from around the world, thanks to the internet and digital technologies. Students can now pursue educational opportunities abroad more easily, fostering cross-cultural exchange and academic diversity. Globalization has introduced new teaching methods and technologies, enhancing the quality of education (Onyekwere, Okoringa & Dike, 2017). The internationalization of higher education involves cross-border collaborations, student and staff mobility, and the integration of global perspectives into curricula. International collaborations promote cultural exchange and understanding, preparing students for a globalized world. integrating diverse perspectives, internationalization contributes to academic excellence and innovation. However, it also poses challenges for local educational systems, such as maintaining cultural relevance and addressing inequalities in access to global opportunities (Sharma, 2012).

The rapid growth of technology has profoundly transformed education, with online learning platforms, Artificial Intelligence (AI), and digital tools becoming integral to teaching and learning. This transformation has made educational resources more accessible, allowing students to learn from anywhere with internet access. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of this shift, as entire education systems moved online to ensure continuity. Technology offers numerous benefits in education, including enhanced accessibility and personalized learning experiences. AI and digital tools enable adaptive learning, where software and AI-powered chatbots help identify areas for improvement and provide customized learning paths. Additionally, technology offers dynamic and interactive tools that engage students in ways traditional methods cannot. Gamification, multimedia resources, and virtual reality simulations enhance learning experiences and retention. Furthermore, technology facilitates global collaboration among students and educators, fostering cross-cultural understanding and exchange (Mhlanga, 2024).

Despite these benefits, challenges persist. One of the significant issues is the digital divide, which has exacerbated existing inequalities. Not all students have equal access to digital tools and internet connectivity, creating disparities in educational opportunities. Another concern is academic integrity, as the rise of AI tools has raised questions about students relying on technology to complete assignments rather than engaging in authentic learning. Comparative studies are crucial in understanding how different countries address these challenges. These studies provide insights into strategies for effectively integrating technology into educational systems worldwide. For instance, some countries have implemented policies to ensure equitable access to technology, while others focus on developing digital literacy among educators and students.

There is a growing focus on addressing inequalities in education, particularly for marginalized groups such as girls, refugees, and students with disabilities. Comparative education research is playing a crucial role in identifying best practices for promoting equity and inclusion in educational systems worldwide. This focus is driven by the recognition that these groups often face significant barriers to accessing quality education, including systemic inequalities, lack of inclusive policies, and inadequate resources. Marginalized groups encounter a range of challenges that limit their access to education (Nurse & Melhuish, 2021). Children with disabilities and those from low-income backgrounds frequently encounter insufficient accommodations and support systems, hindering their ability to fully participate in educational opportunities. More so, girls and refugees may face cultural and socio-economic challenges that limit their access to education. These barriers underscore the need for targeted interventions and policies that address the specific needs of diverse learners. Several strategies are being implemented to address these challenges. Advocacy for inclusive education policies is crucial for ensuring that marginalized groups have equitable access to education. This includes developing policies that address the specific needs of diverse learners and promoting cultural responsiveness in curricula. Community engagement is also vital, as involving communities and families in educational processes helps foster a sense of ownership and accountability. Furthermore, educators need training in inclusive education methodologies to create supportive learning environments. Ensuring fair distribution of resources is essential for reducing disparities between schools, including providing adequate infrastructure, supplies, and technology to support all learners.

The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 4 (Quality Education), have significantly influenced research on the role of education in achieving sustainable development. Comparative studies are now focused on examining how different countries align their educational policies with the SDGs, highlighting the critical role education plays in supporting broader sustainable development objectives. Education is recognized as a key driver of sustainable development, enabling individuals and societies to address complex global challenges such as poverty, inequality, and environmental degradation. Ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education for all, as outlined in SDG 4, countries can foster a more informed and empowered population capable of contributing to sustainable development. Education helps reduce inequalities and promotes gender equality, empowering people to live healthier and more sustainable lives. It also equips individuals with the skills and knowledge needed to address environmental challenges and support economic growth in a sustainable manner. Comparative studies are crucial in understanding how different countries integrate the SDGs into their educational systems. These studies analyze national policies and practices to identify effective strategies for aligning education with sustainable development goals. For instance, research explores how countries use technology to enhance access to education, improve learning outcomes, and support teacher training, all of which are essential for achieving SDG 4. Additionally, these studies highlight the importance of educational management in optimizing resource allocation and promoting inclusive education, which are critical for achieving the broader SDGs (Bui, Bui & Pham, 2024).

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of resilience and adaptability in education systems worldwide. Comparative education researchers are actively studying the responses of different countries to the pandemic, examining how various educational systems adapted to the crisis and what implications these responses have for the future of education. The pandemic led to unprecedented disruptions in education, affecting nearly 1.6 billion students across more than 190 countries. School closures, which lasted for an average of 10.3 months, had significant negative effects on student learning, with disproportionate impacts on already disadvantaged students and those in low- and middle-income nations. The shift to remote learning exposed stark inequalities in access to digital technology, with about half of all learners lacking access to a computer and internet at home. Countries responded to the pandemic by implementing various distance learning solutions, including online platforms, educational applications, and resources (Faturoti, 2022). However, these efforts were often hindered by inadequate infrastructure and digital divides, particularly in developing countries. Some countries used alternative methods like radio and television to reach students without internet access. Despite these challenges, the pandemic accelerated the adoption of digital technologies in education, forcing educators to innovate and adapt quickly.

The pandemic has significant implications for the future of education. It has accelerated discussions around the need for more resilient and adaptable educational systems that can respond effectively to crises. Comparative studies are helping identify best practices and strategies for mitigating the impact of future disruptions. Furthermore, there is a growing emphasis on addressing systemic inequalities and improving access to digital technologies to ensure that all learners have equal opportunities for quality education (Beaunoyer, Dupéré & Guitton, 2020). The pandemic has also highlighted the importance of teacher training in digital literacy and the need for more inclusive educational policies that account for diverse learning needs and contexts.

Conclusion

Comparative education remains a vital field for understanding and improving education systems worldwide. This review underscores the importance of continued innovation and critical reflection in the field. As the world becomes increasingly interconnected, comparative education will play a crucial role in shaping the future of education for all. This interconnectedness highlights the need for a global perspective that respects local contexts while fostering collaborative solutions to common challenges. Through comparative education, researchers and policymakers can identify best practices, address systemic inequalities, and promote inclusive and equitable educational systems. The field's continued evolution will be pivotal in ensuring that education serves as a transformative force, empowering individuals and societies to thrive in an ever-changing world.

Recommendations

Based on the richness and diversity highlighted in this review of comparative education, several key recommendations emerge for future research:

1. Future studies should prioritize the development of more culturally sensitive methodologies. This involves recognizing and addressing the overreliance on Western perspectives and ensuring that research approaches are tailored to the unique contexts of diverse regions.
2. There is a need to bridge the gap between research findings and policy implementation. Researchers should collaborate more closely with policymakers to ensure that insights from comparative studies inform educational policies effectively. This collaboration can help translate research into actionable strategies that improve educational outcomes globally.
3. Future research should focus on exploring under-researched regions and populations.
4. Future research should explore innovative ways to use digital tools to improve the efficiency, reach, and impact of comparative education research. This could involve using AI for data analysis, online platforms for global collaboration, and digital surveys to gather data from diverse populations.

References

Auerbash, P. & Green, F. (2024). Reformulating the Critique of Human Capital Theory. *Journal of Economic Survey*. 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12675

Beaunoyer, E., Dupéré, S., & Guitton, M. J. (2020). COVID-19 and digital inequalities: Reciprocal impacts and mitigation strategies. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 111, 106424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106424

Beech, J. & Artopoulos, A. (2021). Actor-Network-Theory and Comparative and International Education. In book: The Bloomsbury Handbook of Theory in Comparative and International Education. http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781350078789.ch-025

Bingham, A. J., Dean, S., & Castillo, J. (2019). Qualitative comparative analysis in educational policy research: Procedures, processes, and possibilities. *Methodological Innovations*, 12(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/2059799119840982

Bui, H.T., Bui, T. & Pham, B.T. (2024). The role of higher education in achieving sustainable development goals: An evaluation of motivation and capacity of Vietnamese institutions, *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(3), 101088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2024.101088.

Carney, S., Rappleye, J. & Silova, I. (2012). Between Faith and Science: World Culture Theory and Comparative Education. *Comparative Education Review*, 56(3). https://doi.org/10.1086/665708

Cowen, R. (2023). Comparative education: then, now, and next. In R. J. Tierney, F. Rizvi, & K. Ercikan, *International Encyclopedia of*

Education (4th Edition) (pp. 11-18), Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.01002-2.

Faturoti, B. (2022). Online learning during COVID19 and beyond: a human right based approach to internet access in Africa. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*, 36(1), 68–90. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600869.2022.2030027

George, T. (2025, January 14). *Mixed Methods Research, Definition, Guide & Examples*. https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/mixed-methods-research/

Getahun, A. (2020). A post-colonial perspective on African education systems. *African Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(5), 40 – 54. https://iprjb.org/journals/index.php/AJEP/article/view/1120

Giroux, H.A. (2010). Rethinking Education as the Practice of Freedom: Paulo Freire and the promise of critical pedagogy. *Policy Futures in Education*, 8(6), 715-721. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.2304/pfie.2010.8.6.715

Harber, C. & Oryema, D.E. (2014). Modernisation theory, prismatic society and educational decentralisation in Uganda. *International Journal of Educational Development in Africa*, 1(1), 46-58. https://unisapressjournals.co.za/index.php/IJEDA/article/download/41/6/139

Klees, S.J. (2017). Quantitative Methods in Comparative Education and Other Disciplines: Are they valid? *Educacao & Realidade*, 42(3), 841-858. https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-623664816

Leoni, S. (2023). A Historical Review of the Role of Education: From Human Capital to Human Capabilities. *Review of Political Economy*, 37(1), 227–244. https://doi.org/10.1080/09538259.2023.2245233

Marcelo, M. (2021). Structural-Functionalism in Comparative and International Education: Antecedents, Developments, and Applications. In book: *The Bloomsbury Handbook of Theory in Comparative and International Education*. Publisher: Bloomsbury Academic. http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781350078789.ch-001

Marshall, J. (2019). *Introduction to comparative and international education*. London: Sage. https://in.sagepub.com/sites/default/files/upm-binaries/101778_Ch_1_An_introduction_to_comparative_education.pdf

Mhlanga, D. (2024). Digital transformation of education, the limitations and prospects of introducing the fourth industrial revolution asynchronous online learning in emerging markets. *Discovery Education*, 3, 32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-024-00115-9

Nurse, L., & Melhuish, E. (2021). Comparative perspectives on educational inequalities in Europe: an overview of the old and emergent inequalities from a bottom-up perspective. *Contemporary Social Science*, 16(4), 417–431. https://doi.org/10.1080/21582041.2021.1948095

Onyekwere, N., Okoringa, N. & Dike, H. (2017). Impacts of globalization on the educational system of Nigeria. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research*, 3(8), 140-147. https://www.ijaar.org/articles/Volume3-Number8/Social-Management-Sciences/ijaar-sms-v3n8-aug17-p15.pdf

Schriewer, J. (2021). Comparison and explanation: a long saga. *Comparative Education*, 57(4), 445–451. https://doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2021.1982555

Sharma, N. (2012). *Globalization Effect on Education and Culture: An Analysis*. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2069155

Thompson, K. (2024, July 4). *Modernization Theory*. https://revisesociology.com/2017/09/19/modernization-theory/

Tomaszewski, L. E., Zarestky, J., & Gonzalez, E. (2020). Planning Qualitative Research: Design and Decision Making for New Researchers. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 19. https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406920967174

Wilkins, A., Courtney, S. & Piattoeva, N. (2024). W. In Keywords in Education Policy Research: A Conceptual Toolbox (pp. 215-217). Bristol, UK: Policy Press. https://doi.org/10.56687/9781447360124-023

Zha, Q. & Tu, D. (2016). Doing Mixed Methods Research in Comparative Education: Some Reflections on the Fit and a Survey of the Literature. Annual Review of Comparative and International Education 2015 (International Perspectives on Education and Society, Vol. 28), Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Leeds, pp. 165-191. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-367920150000028014