



# Thomas Adewumi University

## Journal of Innovation, Science and Technology (TAU-JIST)



ISSN: 3043-503X

RRVIEW ARTICLE

## THE ROLE OF THE GLOBALIZED WORLD AND ITS CHALLENGES IN SOCIAL JUSTICE, EQUALITY, AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

<sup>1</sup>Akinola ODESANMI, <sup>2</sup>Ilesanmi Daniel OLUSEGUN, <sup>3</sup>Janet OMODUNBI-ODESANMI, <sup>4</sup>Ken Egbo & <sup>5</sup>Babatunde Oluwole Samson

<sup>1</sup>Department of Sociology, Landmark University, Omu-Aran, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Sociology Thomas Adewumi University Oko, Kwara State

<sup>3</sup>Post Graduate school, Landmark University, Omu-Aran, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Department of Criminology and Security studies, Federal University Oye Ekiti

<sup>5</sup>Department of Sociology, Thomas Adewumi University Oko, Kwara State

Corresponding Author Email: [Daniel.Olusegun@tau.edu.ng](mailto:Daniel.Olusegun@tau.edu.ng)

*This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

### ARTICLE DETAILS

#### Article History:


Received 02 July 2024  
 Accepted 05 October 2024  
 Available online 10 November 2024

### ABSTRACT

Globalization represents a worldwide trend characterized by increased interconnectedness and interdependence among societies, economies, cultures, and individuals. While offering avenues for economic advancement, innovation, and cultural interchange, globalization also presents significant challenges such as economic disparity, cultural uniformity, and environmental deterioration. Dependency theory, World-systems theory, and Cultural hybridisation theory are the theoretical frameworks. This study explores how globalization influences social justice, equality, and sustainability in our interconnected world. It stresses the significance of considering historical and structural factors in understanding cross-border inequality and poverty and underscores the intricate nature of global challenges like climate change, pandemics, and terrorism, necessitating coordinated, multilateral responses. Furthermore, globalization affects politics and governance at both national and international levels, demanding comprehensive strategies addressing economic, social, political, and institutional hurdles. The paper suggests effective governance and regulation as essential tools in tackling the issues of globalization, by fostering inclusive development, enhancing governance, mitigating debt burdens, and encouraging regional collaboration, through which globalization can fuel positive transformations and prosperity worldwide, particularly in developing countries. Conclusively, Globalization, driven by technological advancements, communication, transportation, and global trade is a significant social phenomenon that reshapes social and economic structures, creating a more interconnected global society that impacts every aspect of human life. However, the benefits have not been evenly distributed, leading to significant disparities in wealth, power, and opportunity between nations and within societies. Efforts must be undertaken to assist individuals and societies in adapting to change for social justice, equality, and sustainable development.

#### KEYWORDS

Globalisation; Societies; Interconnectedness; Interdependence; Challenges; Solution

Quick Response Code	Access this article online	
	Website: <a href="https://journals.tau.edu.ng/index.php/tau-jist" style="color: blue; text-decoration: underline;">https://journals.tau.edu.ng/index.php/tau-jist</a>	DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14200418" style="color: blue; text-decoration: underline;">https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14200418</a>

## Introduction

Throughout history, international interactions and exchanges have manifested through cross-border movements, migrations, and global commerce. Ancient societies witnessed the formation and promotion of international trading ventures by governments and individuals, aimed at exploring and dominating distant, less privileged societies to benefit more privileged ones. The most significant historical points that directly link to globalization's impact on contemporary issues are European exploration and colonization which laid the groundwork for global trade networks, facilitating the exchange of goods, cultures, and ideas across continents. However, it also introduced patterns of exploitation and resource extraction that continue to drive global economic disparities today since the 15th and 17th centuries (Baylis, 2020; Bordo, Taylor & Williamson, (Eds.). 2007).

The 18th- 19th centuries were another era of rapid increase in globalization due to technological advancements in manufacturing, transportation, and communication. It fueled mass production and global trade, contributing to the rise of capitalist economies and imperialism, which set the stage for modern economic inequality and labor exploitation (Eriksen, 2020; Held & McGrew, 2003). Similarly, European colonization of Africa, Asia, and the Americas created global power imbalances and entrenched systems of racial and economic inequality. The legacy of colonialism continues to influence contemporary issues such as economic dependency, cultural dominance, and social injustice in former colonies as introduced between the 16th and 20th centuries (Held & McGrew, 2003). In the same vein, The World Wars and the Cold War of (the 20th century) led to global conflicts that reshaped political and economic landscapes, leading to the creation of international institutions like the United Nations and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). These institutions play a crucial role in global governance but also spark debates about sovereignty, economic inequality, and power dynamics (Baylis, 2020; Held & McGrew, 2003). Between the 20th and 21st century (the post-World War II period) saw the rise of multinational corporations that now dominate global markets. Their influence on trade, labor practices, and environmental policies raises concerns about corporate power, workers' rights, and sustainability (Baylis, 2020; Eriksen, 2020).

However, the Information Technology Revolution (late 20th century-present) is the digital age that has dramatically accelerated globalization, enabling instant communication and the global spread of information. While it has spurred economic growth and cultural exchange, it also presents challenges like digital inequality, cybersecurity threats, and the erosion of local cultures (Baylis, 2020; Bordo, Taylor & Williamson, (Eds.). 2007).

This profound paradigm shift has irreversibly reshaped life on Earth, with every societal stratum transforming due to the

pervasive forces of globalization. Moreover, social institutions such as government, education, and family, alongside regions and societies, are compelled to adapt or risk obsolescence in the face of emerging socio-economic dynamics and advancements in information technology. Each entity grapples with diminishing autonomy and tighter interconnections, necessitating continual adjustments to navigate the ongoing waves of change (Vasile, 2023). Efforts must be undertaken to assist individuals and societies in adapting to change, as previous measures have proven insufficient in addressing prevailing issues. This is because, the benefits of globalization have not been evenly distributed, leading to significant disparities in wealth, power, and opportunity between nations and within societies (Khondker, 2021). According to Cole, (2023), and Pologeorgis, (2022), the implications for social justice and equality are profound, as the concentration of wealth and resources in the hands of a few has led to social unrest and calls for more equitable distribution of benefits of globalization. Additionally, the environmental degradation resulting from unchecked industrialization and global trade poses a threat to marginalized communities, who often bear the brunt of climate change impacts. To address these challenges, there is a growing need for policies that prioritize social justice and equality.

### The Concept of Globalization

Globalization can be understood through various lenses, each reflecting different viewpoints and theoretical frameworks. Udeagwu & Nnubia, (2024) conceptualise globalization as the deepening and broadening of interconnectedness and interdependence among societies, economies, cultures, and individuals across the globe. This concept underscores the expansion of global networks involving communication, trade, migration, and cultural interchange, facilitated by advancements in technology and economic integration. Alternatively, Lambarch, (2022) see globalization as a process challenging conventional notions of space, place, and territorial limits, leading to the deconstruction and rearrangement of social spaces. This concept highlights the dissolution of spatial barriers and the emergence of new forms of social connections and identities that surpass geographical confines. However, Baylis (2020) presents a conceptualization of globalization centred on the expansion of capitalist economic systems and market dynamics worldwide. It underscores the growing mobility of capital, goods, and labour across national boundaries, facilitated by neoliberal policies promoting deregulation, privatisation, and liberalization. Anthony Giddens, conceptualizes globalization as the "intensification of worldwide social relations that connect distant localities, so that local events are influenced by occurrences happening far away, and vice versa." This conceptualization emphasizes the interconnectedness between local and global events,

where actions in one region can significantly impact distant areas, leading to a more integrated and interdependent world (Giddens, 2003). From these different conceptualizations, "Globalization" denotes the escalating interconnectedness and interdependence among societies and nations, in economics, politics, and cultural institutions, propelled by advancements in technology, communication, transportation, and global trade. These interpretations offer insights into diverse facets of globalization, highlighting its social, spatial, political, and economic dimensions. While each definition presents a unique viewpoint on globalisation, they collectively contribute to a holistic understanding of globalization as a multifaceted social phenomenon shaping contemporary societies and human experiences.

Scholars scrutinize how these intertwined processes impact societies, institutions, and individuals globally. This entails not only exploring the advantages and opportunities of globalization but also confronting its challenges and repercussions, including inequality, conflict, and cultural transformation. Ultimately, research on globalization aims to guide policies and interventions that foster social justice, equality, and sustainability in our increasingly interconnected world (Vasile et al., 2023; Lechner & Boli, 2020).

### Theoretical Framework

Numerous theories explore globalization, with Dependency theory, World-systems theory, and Cultural hybridization theory serving as the theoretical frameworks for this study. Dependency theory, originating in the 1950s and 1960s, emerged as a critical response to modernization theory, which proposed that industrialization and economic development could alleviate poverty and underdevelopment in the Global South. Unlike modernization theory's focus on internal factors within developing nations, dependency theory directs attention to the unequal relationships between developed (core) and developing (peripheral) nations within the global capitalist system. The theory contends that the economic advancement of affluent countries hinges on the underdevelopment of poorer nations. This reliance persists through various mechanisms, including unequal terms of trade, neo-colonialism, multinational corporations, and financial dominance by developed nations and international institutions. Dependency theorists argue that these mechanisms result in the extraction of resources and wealth from peripheral countries, impeding their ability to achieve autonomous development (Friedmann & Wayne, 1977). Moreover, the international division of labor, influenced by colonial history and global capitalist dynamics, fosters unequal exchange between core and peripheral nations. Peripheral countries often specialize in producing and exporting raw materials and agricultural commodities, which fetch lower value-added compared to manufactured goods from core countries. Consequently, peripheral nations receive diminished prices for their exports while facing inflated prices for imported manufactured goods, perpetuating their

economic dependency. This hierarchical arrangement of the global economy features a core of dominant industrialized nations and a periphery of underdeveloped countries. This Centre-periphery structure is upheld through economic, political, and cultural mechanisms that facilitate the flow of resources, capital, and profits from peripheral to core countries (Caporaso, 1980).

Dependency theorists argue that although colonialism may have formally ended, neocolonial relations persist through economic and political domination by former colonial powers and multinational corporations. This domination operates indirectly through mechanisms such as economic aid, foreign investment, debt, and trade agreements, serving the interests of core countries while perpetuating underdevelopment in peripheral nations. According to dependency theory, underdevelopment is not merely a lack of development but a consequence of the asymmetrical relations between core and peripheral countries, characterized by exploitation, marginalization, and dependency (Friedmann & Wayne, 1977). Despite facing criticism for its emphasis on economic determinism and oversight of internal dynamics within developing countries, dependency theory remains a significant framework for understanding global inequality and development dynamics, particularly in the Global South. It underscores the significance of historical and structural factors in analyzing patterns of inequality and poverty on a global scale. However, the limitations of Dependency theory are seen in such as an overemphasis on external factors and a deterministic perspective, by neglecting the role of local governance and policies in shaping economic outcomes, suggest that it should be complemented by other theories that consider a wider range of influences on development (Pieterse, 2010).

World-systems theory, crafted by sociologist Immanuel Wallerstein in the 1970s, presents a comprehensive lens for grasping the global capitalist system and the intricate dynamics of economic, political, and social relations among nations. Departing from traditional approaches that isolate individual countries or regions, this theory examines the world as an interconnected system marked by hierarchies and unequal power dynamics. Central to World-systems theory is the concept of a core-periphery structure. Wallerstein divides the world into three primary zones: the core, the semi-periphery, and the periphery. The core encompasses economically advanced, industrialized nations with robust economies, advanced technology, and infrastructure. In contrast, the periphery comprises less developed countries primarily exporting raw materials and agricultural goods, while the semi-periphery occupies an intermediary position, displaying traits of both the core and the periphery (Wallerstein, 1980; Wallerstein, 1979).

This theory underscores the role of unequal exchange in perpetuating global disparities. Core countries wield dominance in the world economy by exploiting the resources and labor of peripheral and semi-peripheral

nations. This exploitation takes various forms, including lopsided terms of trade, debt accrual, and surplus value extraction from peripheral economies. Consequently, peripheral countries remain economically reliant on core nations, sustaining their underdevelopment. Wallerstein portrays the modern world as a capitalist economy driven by profit maximization and capital accumulation. Operating on capitalist principles, competition, exploitation, and accumulation steer global economic activities, shaping social relations, institutions, and power dynamics across borders. The theory underscores historical processes and long-term structural shifts in comprehending the evolution of the global system (Robertson & Lechner, 1985).

Moreover, the world systems theory identifies historical "cycles of accumulation" marked by phases of expansion and contraction in the world economy. These cycles, propelled by economic, political, and technological advancements, influence the distribution of wealth and power among nations over time. The theory also highlights the global division of labor as a pivotal aspect of the capitalist world economy. Core countries specialize in high-value-added sectors such as finance, technology, and manufacturing, while peripheral nations focus on low-wage, labor-intensive industries like agriculture and textiles. This division reinforces the economic supremacy of core nations and perpetuates the reliance of peripheral nations on core economies (Wallerstein, 1980).

While World-systems theory underscores the systemic nature of global capitalism and its role in perpetuating inequality, it also acknowledges avenues for resistance and social change. Peripheral and semi-peripheral nations, alongside marginalized groups within core countries, have mobilized various forms of resistance, including social movements, revolutions, and struggles for national liberation. These movements challenge existing power structures and advocate for alternative development paradigms and social justice within the world system (Robertson & Lechner, 1985). In sum, World-systems theory furnishes a holistic framework for analyzing the global capitalist system and comprehending the interconnections among nations and regions. By accentuating historical processes, unequal exchange, and the core-periphery structure, this theory illuminates the mechanisms driving global inequalities. Furthermore, it underscores the agency of peripheral and semi-peripheral nations in shaping their trajectories and contesting the prevailing order within the world system. The limitations, such as an overemphasis on economic determinism and neglect of cultural, political, and environmental factors that also influence global inequalities is a somewhat simplistic classification system, indicate this theory be combined with other theories that consider a broader range of factors affecting global development (El-Ojeili, 2015).

Cultural hybridization theory, alternatively known as cultural hybridity or hybridity theory, presents a perspective delving into the amalgamation, fusion, and evolution of cultural elements across diverse societies and contexts. This theory emerged as a response to prior models of cultural evolution

that portrayed cultures as static, uniform entities, instead spotlighting the dynamic and fluid nature of cultural interactions in an increasingly globalized world. Cultural hybridization theory suggests that cultures are not fixed or confined entities but continuously evolve through interactions with other cultures. As individuals migrate, engage in trade, and communicate across borders, cultural components such as language, beliefs, values, traditions, and practices intersect and meld, giving rise to novel and distinctive cultural manifestations. Hybridization occurs when these varied cultural elements blend, transcending traditional categories and boundaries (Pieterse, 2019).

Globalization plays a pivotal role in propelling cultural hybridization by enabling the movement of people, goods, ideas, and information across national frontiers. Technological advancements, transportation improvements, and enhanced communication methods have facilitated the global circulation of cultural products and practices, fostering heightened cultural exchange and interaction. Consequently, individuals and communities encounter diverse cultural influences that shape their identities and lifestyles in intricate ways. Cultural hybridization theory underscores the fluid and adaptable nature of identity, highlighting how individuals navigate multiple cultural affiliations and identities in their daily lives. People often draw from various cultural traditions and references to construct their sense of self, blurring the distinctions between different cultural categories and affiliations. Hybrid identities can exhibit fluidity, fragmentation, and context dependence, reflecting the diverse cultural influences shaping individuals' experiences and perspectives (Young, 2012).

While cultural hybridization is frequently associated with processes of cultural convergence and assimilation, it can also serve as a site of resistance and agency. Individuals and communities may selectively adopt, adapt, or reinterpret cultural elements from other cultures as a means of creative expression or cultural activism. Cultural hybridity has the potential to challenge dominant cultural norms and power structures, offering alternative narratives and viewpoints that celebrate diversity and inclusivity.

Moreover, cultural hybridization theory addresses the commercialization and consumption of hybrid cultural forms in the global marketplace. As cultural products and practices become commodified and mass-produced, questions regarding authenticity and cultural ownership arise. Hybrid cultural forms may be marketed and consumed for their perceived exoticism or novelty, prompting discussions about cultural appropriation, representation, and ethical consumption.

This theory intersects with broader debates about transnationalism and cosmopolitanism, underscoring the interconnectedness and interdependence of cultures in a globalized milieu. Transnational communities and cosmopolitan lifestyles blur the boundaries between local and global spheres, fostering cross-cultural dialogue,

exchange, and collaboration (Pieterse, 2019). Cultural hybridity emerges as a symbol of cosmopolitanism, reflecting the fluidity and openness of contemporary cultural identities. It offers a nuanced comprehension of cultural change and transformation within the context of globalization, challenging essentialist and deterministic views of cultural identity while highlighting the creative potential of cultural hybridity in fostering diversity, innovation, and social change. The limitations of this theory are an overemphasis on positive outcomes of cultural exchange by implying a seamless blend of cultures and insufficient attention to power dynamics and cultural resistance, suggesting that it should be complemented by other theories that address these complexities and offer a more nuanced perspective on cultural change (Lee, 2022).

These three theories; Dependency, World-systems, and Cultural Hybridization theories offer valuable insights into various facets of global and cultural dynamics. Dependency Theory and World-Systems Theory provide frameworks for analyzing economic inequalities and global structures, whereas Cultural Hybridization Theory explores the evolving nature of cultural interactions. Integrating these theories enhances our understanding of global and cultural phenomena by capturing their complex and multifaceted nature.

### Interconnected Nature of Human Societies and the Global System

Studying globalization involves examining how the increasing interconnectedness among nations and regions influences various facets of social life. Interconnectedness within globalization denotes the deepening and broadening of connections, relationships, and interactions among nations, economies, cultures, societies, and individuals across the globe. It signifies the degree to which different aspects of human life and the global system are interlinked and interdependent, surpassing traditional geographical, political, and cultural boundaries. Interconnectedness stands as a fundamental characteristic of globalization, shaping its dynamics and impacts across economic, social, political, cultural, and environmental dimensions (Udeagwu & Nnubia, 2024).

a) **Economic Interconnectedness:** Economic interconnectedness, perhaps the most renowned aspect of globalization, involves the integration of national economies into a global economic system through trade, investment, finance, and production networks. This integration is facilitated by factors such as trade liberalization, technological advancements in transportation and communication, and the ascent of multinational corporations. Goods, services, capital, and labor flow across borders more freely than ever before, leading to the establishment of complex global supply chains and networks. Economic interconnectedness fosters increased economic interdependence among countries, with events in one part of the world having

ripple effects on economies elsewhere (Udeagwu & Nnubia, 2024; Pologeorgis, 2022).

- b) **Technological Advancement:** Technological interconnectedness, manifested in technological advancements, particularly in information and communication technologies (ICTs), has revolutionized connectivity and communication on a global scale. The advent of the Internet, mobile phones, social media platforms, and digital technologies facilitates instantaneous communication, collaboration, and information exchange across geographic boundaries. This technological interconnectedness enables the dissemination of ideas, knowledge, and information, linking individuals, communities, and organizations worldwide. It has revolutionized various sectors, including business, education, healthcare, and governance, facilitating virtual interactions and collaborations that transcend physical distances (Fakunle, Okunola & Ajani, 2023)
- c) **Cultural Diffusion:** Likewise, cultural interconnectedness within cultural globalization involves the dissemination of cultural practices, values, beliefs, and symbols across borders, resulting in cultural hybridization and interconnectedness. Global media, entertainment, and communication networks play pivotal roles in facilitating cultural exchange and diffusion by transmitting cultural products and representations to diverse audiences worldwide. This cultural interconnectedness engenders the blending, mixing, and adaptation of cultural elements from various societies, leading to the emergence of hybrid cultural forms and identities. Furthermore, it nurtures increased awareness, appreciation, and understanding of diverse cultures and perspectives, thereby contributing to cultural diversity and dialogue in the globalized world (Cole, 2023; Sadykova et al., 2014).
- d) **Social Advancement:** Social interconnectedness pertains to the interlinking of individuals, communities, and social groups across national and cultural boundaries. Processes such as migration, travel, and mobility facilitate social interactions and exchanges, culminating in the formation of transnational communities, diasporic networks, and cross-cultural relationships. Additionally, social media and digital platforms facilitate virtual connections and social networking among people from different parts of the world. Social interconnectedness fosters cross-cultural understanding, solidarity, and cooperation, yet it also presents challenges such as identity negotiation, cultural conflict, and social fragmentation in diverse societies (Randolph & Storper, 2023).

- e) **Political Systems/Governance:** In the realm of globalization, political interconnectedness carries implications for governance and political cooperation at both the national and international levels. International organizations like the United Nations, the World Trade Organization, and the European Union serve as platforms for cooperation and decision-making among countries on global issues. Diplomatic relations, treaties, and agreements foster political cooperation and coordination among nations, addressing transnational challenges such as climate change, terrorism, and pandemics. Political interconnectedness also encompasses power dynamics, alliances, and conflicts among states, as well as the influence of non-state actors such as multinational corporations and civil society organizations on global politics (Baylis, 2020; Jayasuriya, 2018).
- f) **Environmental Interconnectedness:** Environmental interconnectedness refers to the interdependence of ecosystems, natural resources, and environmental processes across geographical regions and ecosystems. Global environmental challenges like climate change, deforestation, biodiversity loss, and pollution transcend national boundaries, necessitating international cooperation and collective action to address them. This environmental interconnectedness underscores the shared responsibility of nations and communities for environmental stewardship and sustainability, as well as the necessity for global governance mechanisms to manage common environmental resources and mitigate environmental degradation (Lechner & Boli, 2020). Interconnectedness in globalisation underscores the intertwined nature of human societies and the global system, accentuating the significance of cooperation, dialogue, and collective action in addressing shared challenges and promoting shared prosperity and well-being in the 21st century.

### Linking the Theories to the Interconnected Nature of Human Societies and the Global System

Dependency Theory contends that global economic relations establish a division between affluent, developed "core" countries and impoverished, developing "periphery" countries. The flow of resources, labour, and capital from the periphery to the core reinforces economic dependence, hindering the autonomous development of peripheral nations. This interconnected global system sustains inequality and dependency, favouring the core while disadvantaging the periphery (Pieterse, 2010). World-Systems Theory, introduced by Immanuel Wallerstein, builds on Dependency Theory by categorizing the world into core, semi-periphery, and periphery regions. It conceptualizes the global system as a unified, interconnected

capitalist economy, where each area has a distinct role. The core exploits the periphery for resources and labour, while the semi-periphery both exploits and is exploited. This interconnected structure sustains these roles, concentrating economic and political power in the core and reinforcing global inequalities (El-Ojeili, 2015).

Cultural Hybridization Theory explores how global interactions lead to the blending of cultures, creating new, hybrid cultural forms. Unlike Dependency and World-Systems Theories, which focus on economics, this theory emphasizes cultural interconnectedness. The global system enables the exchange of cultural practices, resulting in hybrid identities influenced by both local and global elements. It views the global system as a space where cultures interact, evolve, and produce new forms that are neither purely global nor purely local (Pieterse, 2019). Ultimately, the global system is a network of interconnected societies where economic, political, and cultural exchanges continually shape and reshape the world.

### The Complexity and Dynamics of Globalization

The intricacies and dynamism of globalization encompass a myriad of interconnected processes, actors, and interactions that mould the contemporary globalized world. These complexities necessitate analyzing the multifaceted nature of globalization across economic, political, social, cultural, and environmental dimensions. Some of these include:

**Interconnectedness and Interdependence:** The complexity and dynamics of globalization involve the deepening of worldwide social relations, resulting in heightened interconnectedness and interdependence among nations, economies, cultures, and individuals. This interconnectedness manifests in various forms, such as economic integration through trade and investment, cultural exchange and diffusion, political cooperation and governance, and technological connectivity through communication networks. These interconnected processes forge intricate networks of relationships and dependencies that transcend traditional geographic boundaries, shaping the dynamics of globalization (Udeagwu & Nnubia, 2024; Guntay, 2021; Pandey, 2021). The globalization of smartphones greatly enriches social connections worldwide as a common example. As people across different countries use similar devices, a common technological culture develops. Apps and social media platforms on these smartphones allow individuals from diverse cultures and backgrounds to interact, share ideas, and influence one another's lifestyles. This connectivity often leads to the blending of cultural practices and the emergence of global trends (Hillyer, 2021).

Additionally, globalization entails a diverse array of actors and perspectives, including states, multinational

corporations, international organizations, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), social movements, and individuals. Each of these actors assumes a distinct role in shaping and responding to globalization, often with conflicting interests and agendas. For instance, while multinational corporations may aim to maximize profits and expand market access globally, social movements may mobilize to counter corporate power and advocate for social justice and environmental sustainability. The viewpoints and actions of these diverse actors are indispensable for comprehending the dynamics of globalization and its ramifications for different groups and societies (Lechner & Boli, 2020). Organizations such as the United Nations (UN) and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) are pivotal in orchestrating global efforts to tackle climate change. They unite scientists, policymakers, and diplomats from across the globe to evaluate climate impacts and negotiate international agreements like the Paris Agreement. Their efforts embody a global perspective aimed at reconciling the varied interests of member states (Yadav & Kumar, 2023).

Similarly, globalization is marked by uneven development and inequality, both within and among countries. While globalization has spurred economic growth and advancement in certain regions, it has also exacerbated disparities in wealth, income, and access to resources and opportunities. Economic globalization, in particular, has fueled the concentration of wealth and power in the hands of a select few global elites, while marginalized communities and regions confront marginalization, exploitation, and exclusion from the benefits of globalization. Addressing these inequalities entails understanding the structural factors and power dynamics that underlie the global economy and striving toward more equitable and inclusive forms of globalization (Sagbile, 2021). For example, within countries, globalization has further widened inequality. In many developing nations, the advantages of globalization such as increased foreign investment and access to global markets, are typically concentrated in urban areas and among the wealthy elite, often leaving rural regions and lower-income populations disadvantaged. As a result, the gap between the rich and the poor has expanded, with a small portion of the population amassing significant wealth while the majority continues to live in poverty (Wade, 2020).

Another facet of the complexity and dynamics of globalization is Cultural diversity and hybridization, which involves the dissemination of cultural practices, values, and ideas across borders, resulting in cultural hybridization, homogenization, and resistance. Globalization facilitates the exchange and diffusion of cultural products and influences through media, technology, migration, and tourism. Consequently, diverse cultural traditions and identities interact and blend, giving rise to new cultural forms and expressions that incorporate elements from multiple sources. Grasping cultural dynamics

within the context of globalization necessitates acknowledging the intricacies of cultural diversity, identity formation, and cultural power dynamics in a globalized world (Ozer & Schwartz, 2020; Pieterse, 2019). Presently, K-pop, originally rooted in South Korean culture, has evolved into a global sensation, captivating audiences well beyond Asia. Leveraging the internet, social media, and global platforms like YouTube and Spotify, K-pop has garnered fans from diverse cultural backgrounds in places like the United States, Brazil, France, and Nigeria. The worldwide spread of K-pop exemplifies how cultural products can transcend their origins, achieving broad appeal and showcasing the dynamic flow of culture in a globalized world (Koo & Koo, 2022).

Moreover, globalization carries implications for political contention and governance at both the national and international levels. While globalization has fostered increased cooperation and integration through institutions such as the United Nations, the World Trade Organization, and the European Union, it has also sparked political tensions and conflicts over issues such as sovereignty, nationalism, and global governance. Furthermore, the intricate and interconnected nature of global challenges, such as climate change, pandemics, and terrorism, presents governance challenges that demand coordinated responses and multilateral cooperation. Understanding the dynamics of political contention and governance in the era of globalization involves analyzing power relations, conflicting interests, and institutional arrangements that shape global politics (Baylis, 2020; Jayasuriya, 2018). For example, Globalization compels countries to align their local laws with international standards. For instance, labour laws, environmental regulations, and consumer protections can vary significantly across nations. Multinational corporations (MNCs) may pressure governments to loosen certain regulations to attract investment, sparking political debates over workers' rights, environmental sustainability, and public health (Udeagwu & Nnubia, 2024).

Environmental impacts and sustainability challenges within globalization carry significant environmental implications, encompassing resource depletion, pollution, biodiversity loss, and climate change. Economic globalization propels heightened consumption, production, and transportation of goods and services, thereby fostering environmental degradation and ecological crises. Furthermore, globalization often exacerbates environmental inequalities, with marginalized communities bearing the brunt of environmental harm while reaping fewer benefits from economic globalization. Effectively addressing environmental challenges in the context of globalization necessitates transformative shifts in production and consumption patterns, alongside global

cooperation and governance mechanisms aimed at promoting sustainability and environmental justice (Langnel & Pathranakul, 2021). For example, the fast fashion industry exemplifies the profound environmental consequences of globalization. The global appetite for inexpensive, trendy clothing fuels unsustainable practices, resulting in resource depletion, pollution, and a significant carbon footprint. These environmental impacts underscore the difficulties of pursuing sustainability in a globalized economy, where economic growth and consumer demand frequently overshadow environmental priorities (Lechner & Boli, 2020).

The complexity and dynamics of globalization originate from the interconnections of economic, political, social, cultural, and environmental processes on a global scale. Analyzing globalization demands the acknowledgement of the diverse actors, perspectives, and interests involved, as well as an understanding of the uneven development, inequality, and governance challenges characterizing the globalized world.

#### Drivers of Globalization

Globalization is propelled by a multitude of interconnected factors spanning economic, technological, political, social, and cultural realms. These drivers often mutually reinforce each other, contributing to the heightened interconnectedness and interdependence of societies worldwide. Among these drivers are:

Technological advancements and innovations have played a pivotal role in propelling globalization forward. Developments in transportation, including aeroplanes, container ships, and highways, have facilitated the swift and extensive movement of goods, services, and people across borders. Simultaneously, advancements in information and communication technologies (ICTs), such as the Internet, mobile phones, and satellite communication, have transformed communication by enabling instantaneous exchanges of information, ideas, and capital across the globe (Fakunle, Okunola & Ajani, 2023).

Similarly, the economic liberalization of trade and investment policies has emerged as a significant driver of globalization. Governments worldwide have pursued policies aimed at reducing barriers to trade and investment, such as tariffs, quotas, and regulations, in efforts to foster economic growth and integration into the global economy. Consequently, this has led to the proliferation of multinational corporations, global supply chains, and international trade agreements like the World Trade Organization (WTO), all of which facilitate the movement of goods, services, and capital across borders (Udeagwu & Nnubia, 2024; Gasimli et al., 2022).

Moreover, globalization has been propelled by the escalating mobility of capital and the ascent of financial markets. Financial globalization denotes the integration of global financial markets through the flow of capital, investments, and financial instruments across borders. This integration has been made possible by deregulation, liberalization, and technological advancements in financial systems. Consequently, capital can traverse national boundaries more

freely, fostering greater financial interconnectedness and volatility in global markets (Pologeorgis, 2022; Wolf, 2014)

Multinational corporations (MNCs) wield significant influence in propelling globalization forward through their expansive operations, investments, and supply chains spanning multiple countries. Driven by the pursuit of profit maximization, MNCs capitalize on global market opportunities, access cost-effective labor and resources, and leverage economies of scale. Often, they establish subsidiaries, production facilities, and distribution networks across various nations, thereby contributing to the globalization of production, trade, and investment (Udeagwu & Nnubia, 2024).

The global division of labor and outsourcing have prompted a reorganization of production processes on a global scale. Companies increasingly delegate different stages of production to countries with lower labor costs and regulatory standards, resulting in the emergence of global supply chains. This phenomenon, termed outsourcing or offshoring, has reshaped industries such as manufacturing, services, and technology, furthering the globalization of production and employment (Udeagwu & Nnubia, 2024; Kuruvilla, 2020).

Political agreements and regional integration initiatives have furthered globalization by fostering economic cooperation and integration among countries within specific regions. Examples include the European Union (EU), NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement), ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations), and Mercosur (Southern Common Market). These agreements facilitate trade, investment, and the movement of people within regional blocs, thereby promoting economic growth and stability (Yadav & Kumar, 2023).

Additionally, globalization is propelled by cultural exchange and media globalization, wherein cultural products, values, and ideas traverse borders through media, entertainment, and popular culture channels. Global media conglomerates such as Disney, Time Warner, and News Corporation wield substantial influence in shaping global cultural flows through film, television, music, and digital platforms. This exchange fosters processes of cultural homogenization, hybridization, and resistance, influencing identities, lifestyles, and consumer behavior worldwide (Yadav & Kumar, 2023; Pandey, 2021).

These drivers of globalization intersect and reinforce one another, moulding the interconnectedness and interdependence of societies, economies, and cultures on a global scale. While globalization presents opportunities for economic growth, innovation, and cultural exchange, it also poses challenges such as economic inequality, cultural homogenization, and environmental degradation, necessitating careful governance and regulation to address effectively



## Obstacles to Globalisation in the Third-World Nations

Globalisation encounters numerous hurdles in third-world nations, impeding their integration into the global economy and curtailing the benefits they can reap from it. Among the key obstacles are:

**Dependency on Monoculture Economies:** A significant portion of third-world nations heavily relies on a single or monoculture economy, centred on commodities such as agricultural products, minerals, and raw materials, for economic sustenance. This dependency renders these nations vulnerable to economic fluctuations, market volatility, and external shocks, particularly in the realm of global commodity prices. Consequently, they face economic instability and encounter obstacles in diversifying and industrialising their economies. Limited access to capital, technology, and skilled labour further hampers their ability to actively participate in various global markets and trade partnerships. As a result, economic disparities persist within and between countries, exacerbating inequalities and hindering their involvement in global markets (Sağbilge, 2021).

**Unfavourable Conditions in the World Market Economy:** The prevailing dynamics of the global economic and market landscape often work against the interests of third-world nations, impacting their ability to compete on a global scale. This manifests in the form of protectionist measures adopted by developed countries, including tariffs, quotas, and subsidies, which restrict market access for goods and services originating from third-world nations. Such trade barriers and unfair trade practices place third-world exporters at a disadvantage and constrain their competitiveness in global markets. Unequal international agreements further exacerbate disparities among these nations, hindering their economic growth and impeding their integration into the global economic trading system (Gasimli et al., 2022).

**Poor Leadership and Governance:** Inadequate leadership, governance inefficiencies, and corruption within third-world nations hinder the effective implementation of meaningful policies, dissuading foreign investment and impeding infrastructure development. These factors exacerbate economic disparities, as nations with poor governance struggle to establish the credibility, legal frameworks, and stability necessary to foster globalisation (Güntay, 2021).

**Political Instability:** Ongoing political instability, coupled with governance deficiencies and institutional weaknesses, erodes confidence in third-world economies and discourages foreign investment. Corruption, lack of transparency, and political unrest create uncertainties for businesses and investors, stalling economic growth and development. The resulting loss of human capital, economic slowdown, displacement of populations, and infrastructural damage impede mutual trade agreements, diplomatic relations, and regional collaborations, hampering integration into the global community (Hermans & Kempen, 1998).

**Social and Cultural Factors:** Ethnic conflicts prevalent in some third-world nations deter foreign investors and disrupt economic activities, while social factors such as gender

inequality and social exclusion exacerbate poverty and marginalization. Cultural barriers, language disparities, and traditional practices also impede integration into global markets and hinder participation in international trade and investment (Cole, 2023; Yadav & Kumar 2023). **Inadequate Telecommunication Infrastructure:** Many third-world nations lack developed telecommunication infrastructure, limiting their access to global information networks and hindering communication efficiency, international trade, and technology transfer. Robust telecommunication facilities are essential for effective participation in the globalized economy and leveraging technological advancements (Pandey, 2021).

**Debt Burdens:** High levels of external debt burden third-world nations, constraining their ability to invest in infrastructure, social services, and economic development. Debt servicing obligations divert resources from productive investments and social programs, perpetuating cycles of poverty and underdevelopment (Udeagwu & Nnubia, 2024; Pandey, 2021).

**Structural Barriers:** Weak infrastructure, inadequate transportation networks, and inefficient logistics can impede trade, investment, and economic development in third-world nations. Limited access to reliable energy, water, and sanitation infrastructure also poses challenges to industrialization and economic growth ((Langnal & Pathranakul, 2021; Sagbile, 2021).

Addressing these obstacles requires a comprehensive approach that involves good governance, conflict resolution, and economic reforms, including investment in infrastructure geared towards fostering sustainable development and integration into the global community.

## Recommended Solutions for Third World Nations to Catch Up with Globalisation

To help third-world nations catch up with globalisation and maximise the benefits of global integration, several recommended solutions are considered:

**Good Governance and Institutional Reforms:** Governments should adopt transparent policies to attract foreign investment and implement strong anti-corruption measures to enhance the business environment. Strengthening the rule of law provides a stable and predictable legal framework for businesses and investors to have confidence in third-world nations (Langnel & Pathranarakul, 2021).

**Education and Human Capital:** Enhancing education systems to produce a skilled workforce is crucial for contributing to the technological revolution. Promoting STEM education equips individuals with skills relevant to the globalised economy. Additionally, governments should prioritize investments in education, vocational training, and skill development to enhance human capital and workforce productivity. Expanding access to quality education, especially for marginalised populations, ensures inclusive development (Udeagwu & Nnubia, 2024).

**Aggressive Technological Drive/Technological Revolution:** Allocating resources for research and development in digital infrastructure and broadband connectivity can bridge the digital divide and promote access to information and communication technologies. This fosters innovation and technological advancements (Gasimli et al., 2022; Pologeorgis, 2022; Rodima-Taylor & Grimes, 2019)

**Aids and Assistance from Advantaged Nations:** Third-world nations can seek and establish strategic bilateral and multilateral agreements with economically advanced countries to access financial aid, technology transfer, and expertise, thereby contributing to globalisation. Encouraging foreign direct investment (FDI) through incentives and favourable investment policies is crucial for boosting economic development (Popoola, 2020).

**Alignment with Globalisation Trends:** Third-world nations should stay informed about global trends and actively participate in international organisations to shape global economic policies. Aligning domestic regulations and standards with international norms facilitates smoother integration into global markets. Encouraging trade diversification of exports and imports reduces reliance on a narrow range of products or markets (Gasimli et al., 2022).

**Flexibility in Economic Policies:** Adapting and developing economic policies that respond to changing global conditions and market demands, along with policies that can be adjusted based on the evolving dynamics of the global economy, is advantageous. Creating a flexible labour market allows for shifts in demand while ensuring workforce competitiveness (Güntay, 2021; Langnel & Pathranarakul, 2021).

- I. **Internal Reforms:** Investing in infrastructural development enhances integration into global supply chains. This includes improvements in transportation, connectivity, and logistics. Social and economic reforms that enhance healthcare, improve energy, water, and sanitation systems, support economic activities, and streamline regulations create a business-friendly environment, reducing bureaucratic hurdles for investors and entrepreneurs (Güntay, 2021).
- II. **Environmental Sustainability:** Integrating environmental considerations into development planning and policies promotes sustainable resource management, climate resilience, and environmental conservation. Adopting clean and renewable energy technologies, promoting sustainable agriculture practices, and investing in environmental infrastructure mitigate environmental degradation and promote sustainable development (Langnel & Pathranarakul, 2021).
- III. **Social Protection and Inclusive Development:** Implementing social protection programs addresses poverty, inequality, and social exclusion, ensuring equitable sharing of globalisation benefits among all segments of society. Promoting inclusive

development strategies prioritizes marginalised groups' needs, including women, youth, indigenous peoples, and rural communities (Güntay, 2021; Sagbile, 2021).

- IV. **Promotion of Entrepreneurship and Innovation:** Creating an enabling environment for entrepreneurship and innovation by reducing bureaucratic barriers, streamlining business regulations, and providing access to finance and technical support is essential. Supporting small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) through targeted policies and initiatives that promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and market access is beneficial (Güntay, 2021).
- V. **Economic Diversification:** Encouraging economic diversification away from reliance on primary commodities by promoting value-added industries, manufacturing, and services sectors is crucial. Supporting efforts to develop local industries, promote technology transfer, and build capacity in areas with comparative advantages and growth potential fosters economic growth and stability (Pandey, 2021).
- VI. **Encouraging Trade and Investment:** Advocate for trade liberalization and opening up markets to boost access for exports from developing nations and to allure foreign investment. Cultivate regional economic integration and collaboration to capitalize on economies of scale, diminish trade obstacles, and stimulate intra-regional trade and investment streams (Pandey, 2021; Sagbile, 2021).

When these solutions are adopted by third-world nations, they will be able to position themselves better to navigate the challenges of globalisation, this will inevitably foster socio-economic growth, thereby enhancing their capacity to participate in and benefit from globalisation, ultimately contributing to inclusive and sustainable development and narrowing the gap between themselves and more developed economies.

## Conclusion

The role of the globalized world in shaping social justice, equality, and sustainable development is both transformative and complex, presenting significant opportunities and challenges. Globalization has interconnected economies, cultures, and societies, enabling unprecedented levels of communication, trade, and cultural exchange. This interconnectedness has the potential to advance social justice by promoting shared values, human rights, and equitable access to resources and opportunities across borders. It can also foster sustainable development through the global dissemination of technology, innovation, and best practices in environmental stewardship. However, the

benefits of globalization are not evenly distributed, and it often exacerbates existing inequalities. Globalization has led to significant wealth disparities between and within nations, with the concentration of wealth and power in the hands of a few, often at the expense of marginalized communities. This inequality poses a serious challenge to social justice, as it undermines efforts to create a fair and just society where everyone has equal opportunities and access to resources. Furthermore, globalization contributes to environmental degradation, undermining sustainable development efforts. The global pursuit of economic growth often prioritizes short-term gains over long-term sustainability, leading to the overexploitation of natural resources, loss of biodiversity, and increased greenhouse gas emissions. These environmental challenges disproportionately affect vulnerable populations, compounding issues of inequality and social injustice. Additionally, globalization leads to the erosion of cultural identities and the homogenization of cultures, which marginalizes minority groups and contributes to social unrest. The dominance of certain cultural and economic models stifles local traditions and practices, leading to a loss of cultural diversity and a weakening of community bonds. Although globalization has the potential to advance social justice, equality, and sustainable development, it also presents significant challenges that must be addressed. A globalized world must strive for a more equitable distribution of resources, ensure that economic growth is inclusive and sustainable, and protect cultural diversity. This requires concerted efforts by governments, international organizations, civil society, and the private sector to create policies and frameworks that balance the benefits of globalization with the need to address its adverse impacts. Only through such a balanced approach can the globalized world contribute to a more just, equal, and sustainable future for all.

## References

- Baylis, J. (2020). *The globalisation of world politics: An introduction to international relations*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Bordo, M. D., Taylor, A. M., & Williamson, J. G. (Eds.). (2007). *Globalization in a Historical Perspective*. University of Chicago Press.
- Caporaso, J. A. (1980). Dependency theory: continuities and discontinuities in development studies. *International Organization*, 34(4), 605-628.
- Cole, L. N. (2023). What Is the Meaning of Globalisation in Sociology? Retrieved from [thoughtco.com/globalisation-definition-3026071](https://thoughtco.com/globalisation-definition-3026071) (30<sup>th</sup> of November, 2013).
- El-Ojeili, C. (2015). Reflections on Wallerstein: the modern world-system, four decades on. *Critical Sociology*, 41(4-5), 679-700.
- Eriksen, T. H. (2020). *Globalization: The key concepts*. Routledge.
- Fakunle, S. O., Okunola, J. L., & Ajani, B. K. (2023). Technological Advancement, Globalisation, and Developing Countries: A Case Study of Travel Behavior and Familial Settings in Sub-Saharan West Africa. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Global Social Change* (pp. 1-17). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Friedmann, H., & Wayne, J. (1977). Dependency theory: A critique. *Canadian Journal of Sociology/Cahiers canadiens de sociologie*, 399-416.
- Gasimli, O., Haq, U. I., Munir, S., Khalid, H. M., Gamage, N. K. S., Khan, A., & Ishtiaq, M. (2022). Globalisation and sustainable development: empirical evidence from CIS countries. *Sustainability*, 14(22), 14684.
- Giddens, A. (2003). *The globalizing of modernity. The global transformations reader: An introduction to the globalization debate*, 60-66.
- Held, D., & McGrew, A. (2003). *The great globalization debate: An introduction* (Vol. 4). Polity Press, Cambridge.
- Hermans, J. H., & Kempen, J. H. (1998). Moving cultures: The perilous problems of cultural dichotomies in a globalising society. *American Psychologist*, 53(10), 1111.
- Hillyer, R. S. (2021). Staying connected: Effects of online platforms on transnational family relations and social capital. *Contemporary Japan*, 33(1), 3-23.
- Jayasuriya, K. (2018). Globalisation, Sovereignty, and the Rule of Law: From Political to Economic Constitutionalism? In *Relocating Sovereignty* (pp. 361-379). Routledge.
- Khondker, H. H. (2021). *Globalization of Sociology to The Sociology of Globalization*, [www.researchgate.net](http://www.researchgate.net).
- Koo, J. M., & Koo, H. M. (2022). K-pop from local to global: A study on cultural nationalism in Korean pop culture. *The Columbia Journal of Asia*, 1(1), 175-187.
- Kuruwilla, S. (2020). Globalisation and employment relations. In *Comparative employment relations in the global economy* (pp. 31-53). Routledge.
- Lambach, D. (2022). Space, scale, and global politics: Towards a critical approach to space in international relations. *Review of International Studies*, 48(2), 282-300.
- Langnel, Z., & Pathranarakul, P. (2021). Governance, Globalisation, and Sustainable Development: A Conceptual Framework. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 14(1), 9-25
- Lee, P. T. (2022). Using Cultural Hybridity as an Analytic Lens for Missiological Research. *Missiology*, 50(1), 48-62.
- Mander, J. (Ed.). (2014). *The case against the global economy: and for a turn towards localization*. Routledge.
- Ozer, S., & Schwartz, S. J. (2022). Identity development in the era of globalisation: Globalisation-based acculturation and personal identity development among Danish emerging adults. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 19(1), 22-42.
- Pandey, A. (2021). The Synergy of Globalisation in Corporate Governance Regime. *Jus Corpus Lj*, 2, 677.
- Pieterse, J. N. (2019). Globalisation as hybridisation. In *Postmodern Management Theory* (pp. 507-530). Routledge.
- Pieterse, J. N. (2010). *Development theory*. Sage.
- Pologeorgis, A. N. (2022). How Globalisation Affects Developed Countries. Investopedia. <https://www.investopedia.com/articles/economics/10/Globalisation-developedcountries.asp> (Retrieved on 30<sup>th</sup> of November, 2013).
- Popoola, J. (2020). Globalisation and Nigeria's Economic Development: Study of Interconnectedness. *Open Journal of Political Science*, 10(3), 460-480. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojps.2020.103028>
- Randolph, G. F., & Storper, M. (2023). Is urbanisation in the Global South fundamentally different? Comparative global urban analysis for the 21st century. *Urban Studies*, 60(1), 3-25.

- Robertson, R., & Lechner, F. (1985). Modernization, globalization and the problem of culture in world-systems theory. *Theory, culture & society*, 2(3), 103-117.
- Rodima-Taylor, D., & Grimes, W. W. (2019). International remittance rails as infrastructures: Embeddedness, innovation and financial access in developing economies. *Review of International Political Economy*, 26(5), 839-862.
- Sadykova, R., Moldakhmetb, M., Ryskeldyc, M., & Alua, M. (2014). The interaction of globalisation and culture in the modern world. In *2nd World Conference on Design, Arts and Education DAE-2013* (pp. 8-12). Social and Behavioral Sciences, 122.
- Sağbilge, M. (2021). The effects of globalisation on income inequality: developing countries (Master's thesis, Türk-Alman Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü).
- Udeagwu, C. O., & Nnubia, I. E. (2024). Globalisation and Transnationalism: Impact and Implications for Nigeria. *INTERDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF AFRICAN & ASIAN STUDIES (IJAAS)*, 10(1).
- Vasile, V., Bunduchi, E., Stefan, D., & Comes, A. C. (2023). Historical Evolution and Trends of the Migration Phenomenon and Remittances. In *International Labour Mobility: How Remittances Shape the Labour Migration Model* (pp. 41-84). Cham: Springer International Publishing
- Wade, R. H. (2020). Is globalization reducing poverty and inequality? In *Neoliberalism, Globalization, and Inequalities* (pp. 143-176). Routledge.
- Wallerstein, I. (1979). *The Capitalist World Economy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Wallerstein, I. (1980). *The Modern World-System 11. Mercantilism and the Consolidation of the European World-Economy, 1600-1750*. New York: Academic Press
- Wolf, M. (2014). Shaping Globalisation: Finance and Development, *IMF*, 51(3).
- Yadav, K. S., & Kumar, R. (2023). EVACON-Rainsnow Computing: An Amalgamation of Cloud and Its Inherited Computing to Encourage End User for Both Localized and Globalized Remote Computing. *Wireless Personal Communications*, 132(4), 2737-2792.
- Young, R. J. (2012). Cultural translation as hybridisation. *Trans-Humanities Journal*, 5(1), 155-175

